A Representation Learning Approach for Domain Adaptation

Pascal Germain

INRIA Paris (SIERRA Team)

DATA INTELLIGENCE GROUP SEMINAR

Université Jean-Monet, Laboratoire Hubert Curien

March 31, 2016

- François LavioletteMario Marchand
- Hana Ajakan
- Hugo Larochelle
- Yaroslav Ganin
- Victor Lempitsky

Université Laval, Québec, Canada

) Université de Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada and Twitter

 Evgeniya Ustinova
 Skoikovo Institute
 Moscow Region, Russia Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology,

- 1 Domain Adaptation Setting
- 2 Theoretical Foundations
- 3 Domain-Adversarial Neural Network (DANN)
- 4 Empirical Results with "Shallow" Networks
- 5 Empirical Results with "Deep" Networks
- 6 Conclusion

Book critics (target)

777 The end of the series.

This book was written to provoke those who wanted Adams to continue the trilogy but I loved it. Aurthor setteled down on a bob fearing planet where he has aquired the prestigous...

Read more

Published on Mar 18 2002 by dan

??? Mostly Harmless is Underrated

I think most of the reviews for this book downplay it seriously. While the ending is kind of disappointing, the book overall is wonderful.

Read more

Published on Jan 22 2002 by A Big Adams Fan

??? Please pretend this book was never written.

I have long been a fan of the Hitchhikers series as they are comic genius. The book Mostly Harmless, however, should never have come about. It is frustration at its peak. <u>Read more</u> Published on Jan 14 2002 by Paul Norrod

??? Kinda like horror movies...

...in that the last one usually isn't all that appealing. I liked it fine, with some of Adams's wit, but it was a bit disappointing. <u>Read more</u> Published on Nov 4 2001 by Kristopher Vincent

??? A Terrible End to A Great Series The ending for this books was so bad that I vowed never to read another Douglas Adams book. Adams was obviously sick and tired of the series and used this book to kill it off with... Read more

Published on Oct 17 2001 by David A. Lessnau

Example



Our Domain Adaptation Setting

Classification task

- Input space : $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$
- Labels : $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, L\}$

Two different data distributions

- Source domain : \mathcal{D}_S
- Target domain : \mathcal{D}_T

A domain adaptation learning algorithm is provided with

a labeled source sample $S = \{ (\mathbf{x}_i^s, y_i^s) \}_{i=1}^n \sim (\mathcal{D}_S)^n,$







The goal is to build a classifier $\eta : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ with a low target risk

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr_{(\mathbf{x}^{t}, y^{t}) \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}}[\eta(\mathbf{x}^{t}) \neq y^{t}].$$

Domain Adaptation

Question

In which context can we adapt from source \mathcal{D}_{S} to target \mathcal{D}_{T} ?

Rough Answer

When domains \mathcal{D}_{S} and \mathcal{D}_{T} are «similar».

Tool

Notion of "distance" $d(\mathcal{D}_{S}, \mathcal{D}_{T})$ between domains.

Two approaches to conceive learning algorithms

- 1. Find a hypothesis $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $d_{\eta}(\mathcal{D}_{S}, \mathcal{D}_{T})$ and $R_{\mathcal{D}_{S}}(\eta)$ are small.
- 2. Modify the representation of the examples :
 - $\Rightarrow \text{ Find a function } \mathbf{h} \text{ such that } d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{D}_{S}), \mathbf{h}(\mathcal{D}_{T})) \text{ is small };$ and a $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $R_{\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{D}_{S})}(\eta)$ is small.

Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Definition (Ben David et al., 2006)

Given two domain distributions \mathcal{D}_S and \mathcal{D}_T , and a **hypothesis class** \mathcal{H} , the \mathcal{H} -divergence between \mathcal{D}_S and \mathcal{D}_T is

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2\sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}} \left| \Pr_{\mathbf{x}^{s} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}} \left[\eta(\mathbf{x}^{s}) = 1 \right] + \Pr_{\mathbf{x}^{t} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}} \left[\eta(\mathbf{x}^{t}) = 0 \right] - 1 \right|.$$

The \mathcal{H} -divergence measures the ability of an hypothesis class \mathcal{H} to discriminate between source \mathcal{D}_S and target \mathcal{D}_T distributions.



Theorem (Ben David et al., 2006)

Let \mathcal{H} be a hypothesis class of VC-dimension d. With probability $1 - \delta$ over the choice of samples $S \sim (\mathcal{D}_S)^n$ and $T \sim (\mathcal{D}_T)^n$, for every $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\eta) \leq \widehat{R}_{S}(\eta) + \frac{4}{n}\sqrt{d\log\frac{2e\,n}{d} + \log\frac{4}{\delta}} + \widehat{d}_{\mathcal{H}}(S,\,T) + \frac{4}{n^{2}}\sqrt{d\log\frac{2\,n}{d} + \log\frac{4}{\delta}} + \beta$$

with $\beta \geq \inf_{\eta^{*} \in \mathcal{H}} \left[R_{\mathcal{D}_{S}}(\eta^{*}) + R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\eta^{*})\right].$

Empirical risk on the source sample :

$$\widehat{\mathsf{R}}_{\mathcal{S}}(\eta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I[\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathcal{S}}) \neq \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathcal{S}}].$$

Empirical \mathcal{H} -divergence :

$$\widehat{d}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{T}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2 \max_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I[\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathbf{S}}) = 1] + \frac{1}{n'} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} I[\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{t}) = 0] - 1 \right]$$

Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Theorem (Ben David et al., 2006)

Let $\mathcal H$ be a hypothesis class of VC-dimension d. With probability $1-\delta$ over the choice of samples $S \sim (\mathcal{D}_S)^n$ and $T \sim (\mathcal{D}_T)^n$, for every $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\eta) \leq \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{S}}(\eta) + \frac{4}{n} \sqrt{d \log \frac{2e\,n}{d} + \log \frac{4}{\delta}} + \widehat{d}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) + \frac{4}{n^2} \sqrt{d \log \frac{2n}{d} + \log \frac{4}{\delta}} + \beta$$

with $\beta \geq \inf_{\eta^* \in \mathcal{H}} \left[R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\eta^*) + R_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\eta^*) \right].$

Target risk $R_{\mathcal{D}_{\tau}}(\eta)$ is low if, given S and T,



Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

i.e., $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ is good on



Representation Learning DA

 $\widehat{R}_{S}(\eta)$ is small, and $\widehat{d}_{\mathcal{H}}(S,T)$ is small, *i.e.*, all $\eta' \in \mathcal{H}$ are bad on



Let consider a neural network architecture with one hidden layer

 $h(x) \ = \ \operatorname{sigm}(Wx+b)\,, \quad \text{ and } \quad f(h(x)) \ = \ \operatorname{softmax}(Vh(x)+c)\,.$

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{V},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c}} \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} -\log\left(f_{\mathbf{y}_{i}^{s}}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{s}))\right)\right]}_{i=1}.$$

source loss

where $f_y(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}))$ denotes the conditional probability that the neural network assigns \mathbf{x} to class y.

Given a source sample
$$S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i^s, \mathbf{y}_i^s)\}_{i=1}^n \sim (\mathcal{D}_S)^n$$
,

- 1. Pick a $\mathbf{x}^{s} \in \mathbf{S}$
- 2. Update (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{c}) towards $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^{s})) = y^{s}$
- 3. Update (\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}) towards $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^s)) = y^s$

The hidden layer learns a **representation** $h(\cdot)$ from which linear hypothesis $f(\cdot)$ can **classify source examples**.

Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Representation Learning DA



Domain-Adversarial Neural Network (DANN)

Empirical \mathcal{H} -divergence

$$\widehat{d}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{S}, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2 \max_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I[\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathbf{S}}) = 1] + \frac{1}{n'} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} I[\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{t}) = 0] - 1 \right].$$

Given a representation output by the hidden layer $h(\cdot),$ we estimate the $\mathcal H\text{-divergence}$ by

$$\widehat{d}_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\mathbf{h}(S),\mathbf{h}(T)\right) \approx 2 \max_{\mathbf{u},d} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{S}))) + \frac{1}{n'} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \log(1 - o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{t}))) - 1\right]$$

where $o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}))$ is a logistic regressor that "tries" to detect if \mathbf{x} is from the source domain $(o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})) > \frac{1}{2})$ or target domain $(o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})) < \frac{1}{2})$:

$$o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{sigm}(\mathbf{u}^{\top}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) + d).$$

Domain-Adversarial Neural Network (DANN)



where $\lambda > 0$ weights the domain adaptation regularization term.

Given a source sample $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i^s, \mathbf{y}_i^s)\}_{i=1}^n \sim (\mathcal{D}_S)^n$, and a target sample $T = \{(\mathbf{x}_i^t)\}_{i=1}^{n'} \sim (\mathcal{D}_T)^{n'}$,

- 1. Pick a $\mathbf{x}^{s} \in \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{t} \in \mathbf{T}$
- 2. Update (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{c}) towards $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^s)) = y^s$
- 3. Update (\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}) towards $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^{s})) = y^{s}$
- 4. Update (\mathbf{u}, d) towards $o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^s)) = 1$ and $o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^t)) = 0$
- 5. Update (\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}) towards $o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^s)) = 0$ and $o(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^t)) = 1$



DANN finds a representation $h(\cdot)$ that are good on *S*; but unable to discriminate between *S* and *T*.

Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Representation Learning DA

Toy Dataset

Standard Neural Network (NN)







Domain-Adversarial Neural Networks (DANN)







Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Representation Learning DA

March 31, 2016 13 / 24

Toy Dataset

Standard Neural Network (NN)







Domain-Adversarial Neural Networks (DANN)







Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Representation Learning DA

March 31, 2016 14 / 24

Choosing the Hyperparameters

Model Selection by Reverse Validation

(inspired by Zhong et al., 2010)

For each tuple of hyperparameters :

- Split S, T into training sets S', T' and validation sets S_V, T_V .
- Learn classifier η on (labeled) source S' and (unlabeled) target T'.
- Learn reverse classifier η_r on self-labeled $S'_r = \{(\mathbf{x}^t, \eta(\mathbf{x}^t))\}_{\mathbf{x}^t \in T'}$ as source and unlabeled part of S' as target.
- Compute the **reverse validation risk** $\widehat{R}_{S_V}(\eta_r)$.

$$T'_{r} = \{(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{s})\}_{i=1}^{|S'|}$$

$$S_{V} = \{(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{s}, y_{i}^{s})\}_{i=|S'|}^{n}$$

$$T_{V} = \{(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{t}, \eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{t}))\}_{i=1}^{|T'|}$$

$$\widehat{R}_{S_{V}}(\eta_{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=|S'|}^{n} I[\eta(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{s}) \neq y_{i}^{s}].$$

Input : product review (bag of words) **Output :** positive or negative rating.



Amazon Reviews

Source	Target	DANN	NN	SVM
books	dvd	.784	.790	.799
books	electronics	.733	.747	.748
books	kitchen	.779	.778	.769
dvd	books	.723	.720	.743
dvd	electronics	.754	.732	.748
dvd	kitchen	.783	.778	.746
electronics	books	.713	.709	.705
electronics	dvd	.738	.733	.726
electronics	kitchen	.854	.854	.847
kitchen	books	.709	.708	.707
kitchen	dvd	.740	.739	.736
kitchen	electronics	.843	.841	.842

Question

Does DANN can be combined with other representation learning techniques for domain adaptation ?

The **unsupervised autoencoders mSDA** (Chen et al. 2012) provides a new common representation for source and target.

With **mSDA+SVM**, Chen et al. (2012) obtained *state-of-the-art* results on Amazon Reviews :

- Train a linear SVM on mSDA source representations.

We try **mSDA+DANN** :

- Train DANN on source representations and target representations.

		Original data		mSDA representation		ntation	
Source	Target	DANN	NN	SVM	DANN	NN	SVM
books	dvd	.784	.790	.799	.829	.824	.830
books	electronics	.733	.747	.748	.804	.770	.766
books	kitchen	.779	.778	.769	.843	.842	.821
dvd	books	.723	.720	.743	.825	.823	.826
dvd	electronics	.754	.732	.748	.809	.768	.739
dvd	kitchen	.783	.778	.746	.849	.853	.842
electronics	books	.713	.709	.705	.774	.770	.762
electronics	dvd	.738	.733	.726	.781	.759	.770
electronics	kitchen	.854	.854	.847	.881	.863	.847
kitchen	books	.709	.708	.707	.718	.721	.769
kitchen	dvd	.740	.739	.736	.789	.789	.788
kitchen	electronics	.843	.841	.842	.856	.850	.861

To appear in JMLR : Domain-Adversarial Neural Networks.

by Ganin, Ustinova, Ajakan, Germain, Larochelle, Laviolette, Marchand and Lempitsky



Preprint on arXiv : http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.07818

Gradient Reversal Layer

Implemented in Caffe Deep Learning Package (Jia et al. 2014) :

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}, \qquad rac{d\mathcal{R}}{d\mathbf{x}} = -\mathbf{I}.$$



Pascal Germain (INRIA/SIERRA)

Digits and Traffic Signs Recognition



Method	Source	MNIST	Syn Numbers	SVHN	Syn Signs
METHOD	TARGET	MNIST-M	SVHN	MNIST	GTSRB
Source only		.5225	.8674	.5490	.7900
SA (Fernando et al., 2013)		.5690	.8644	.5932	.8165
DANN		.7666	.9109	.7385	.8865
TRAIN ON TARGET		.9596	.9220	.9942	.9980

Images from three domains : Amazon, DSLR camera, and Webcam **31 labels :** chair, cup, laptop, keyboard, ...

Method	Source	Amazon	DSLR	WEBCAM
initi i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Farget	Webcam	WEBCAM	DSLR
GFK(PLS, PCA) (Gong et al. 2012)		.197	.497	.6631
SA (Fernando et al., 2013)		.450	.648	.699
DLID (Chopra et al., 2013)		.519	.782	.899
DDC (Tzeng et al., 2014)		.618	.950	.985
DAN (Long and Wang, 2015)		.685	.960	.990
Source only		.642	.961	.978
DANN		.730	.964	.992

Summary

We learn a new representation that is

- 1. accurate on the source domain; but
- 2. unable to discriminate between source and target domains.

Our method is :

- Directly based on the seminal theory of domain adaptation of Ben-David et al. (2006).
- Easy to implement in any neural network architectures.
- Achieving state-of-the-art results on several benchmarks.

Future work :

- Multi source / multi target domain adaptation.
- Other network architectures (beyond classification).